# The facial weak order in hyperplane arrangements

#### Aram Dermenjian

Université du Québec à Montréal

Joint with: Christophe Hohlweg, Thomas McConville and Vincent Pilaud (UQAM) (MSRI) (LIX)

#### 8 August 2019

On this day in 1902 Paul Dirac was born. And in 1900 Hilbert revealed 10 of his 23 problems at 2nd ICM in Paris.

"We hear within us the perpetual call: There is a problem. Seek its solution. You can find it by pure reason, for in mathematics there is no 'ignorabimus'. " - Hilbert

A D N A B N A B N A B N

# 5 4 3 1 The facial weak order in hyperplane arrangements 2

#### Aram Dermenjian

Université du Québec à Montréal

Joint with: Christophe Hohlweg, Thomas McConville and Vincent Pilaud (UQAM) (MSRI) (LIX)

#### 8 August 2019

On this day in 1902 Paul Dirac was born. And in 1900 Hilbert revealed 10 of his 23 problems at 2nd ICM in Paris.

"We hear within us the perpetual call: There is a problem. Seek its solution. You can find it by pure reason, for in mathematics there is no 'ignorabimus'. " - Hilbert

イロト イポト イラト イラ

Motivation

# History and Background - Hyperplanes

- $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  *n*-dim real Euclidean vector space.
- A hyperplane H is codim 1 subspace of V with normal  $e_H$ .



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Motivation

## History and Background - Arrangements

- A hyperplane arrangement is  $\mathcal{A} = \{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_k\}$ .
- $\mathcal{A}$  is *central* if  $\{0\} \subseteq \bigcap \mathcal{A}$ .
- Central  $\mathcal{A}$  is *essential* if  $\{0\} = \bigcap \mathcal{A}$ .

#### Example



Motivation

## History and Background - Arrangements

- **Regions**  $\mathscr{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$  connected components of V without  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- **Faces**  $\mathscr{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$  intersections of closures of some regions.



Motivation

## History and Background - Poset of regions

- **Base region**  $B \in \mathscr{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$  some fixed region
- Separation set for  $R \in \mathscr{R}_A$ 
  - $S(R) := \{H \in \mathcal{A} \mid H \text{ separates } R \text{ from } B\}$



< — —

Motivation

## History and Background - Poset of regions

- **Base region**  $B \in \mathscr{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$  some fixed region
- Separation set for  $R \in \mathscr{R}_A$ 
  - $S(R) := \{H \in \mathcal{A} \mid H \text{ separates } R \text{ from } B\}$



- 4 – 5

Motivation

## History and Background - Poset of regions

- **Base region**  $B \in \mathscr{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$  some fixed region
- Separation set for  $R \in \mathscr{R}_A$ 
  - $S(R) := \{H \in \mathcal{A} \mid H \text{ separates } R \text{ from } B\}$



< — —

Motivation

## History and Background - Poset of regions

- **Base region**  $B \in \mathscr{R}_A$  some fixed region
- Separation set for  $R \in \mathscr{R}_A$ 
  - $S(R) := \{H \in \mathcal{A} \mid H \text{ separates } R \text{ from } B\}$



Motivation

## History and Background - Poset of regions

- **Base region**  $B \in \mathscr{R}_A$  some fixed region
- Separation set for  $R \in \mathscr{R}_A$  $S(R) := \{H \in \mathcal{A} \mid H \text{ separates } R \text{ from } B\}$
- Poset of Regions PR(A, B) where  $R \leq_{PR} R' \Leftrightarrow S(R) \subseteq S(R')$   $H_1$   $H_3$   $H_2$   $H_2$   $H_3$   $H_1$   $H_1$   $H_1$

Motivation

# History and Background - Poset of regions

- A region R is simplicial if normal vectors for boundary hyperplanes are linearly independent.
- $\mathcal{A}$  is *simplicial* if all  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$  simplicial.

#### Example



Motivation

# History and Background - Poset of regions

#### Theorem (Björner, Edelman, Ziegler '90)

If A is simplicial then PR(A, B) is a lattice for any  $B \in \mathscr{R}_A$ . If PR(A, B) is a lattice then B is simplicial.

#### Example



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Motivation

# History and Background - Poset of regions

#### Theorem (Björner, Edelman, Ziegler '90)

If A is simplicial then PR(A, B) is a lattice for any  $B \in \mathscr{R}_A$ . If PR(A, B) is a lattice then B is simplicial.

#### Example



A. Dermenjian (UQÀM)

The facial weak order in hyperplane arrangements

8 Aug 2019 7/1

#### Background Facial Weak Order Properties

Motivation

- 2001: Krob, Latapy, Novelli, Phan, and Schwer extended the weak order of type A Coxeter groups to all the faces of its associated arrangement.
- 2006: Palacios and Ronco extended this new order to Coxeter groups of all types using cover relations.
- 2016: D, Hohlweg and Pilaud gave a global equivalent to this extension and showed it's a lattice.



< 17 ▶

- B

8 Aug 2019

Hyperplane Arrangements

Poset of Regions

# **Motivation**

- 2001: Krob, Latapy, Novelli, Phan, and Schwer extended the weak order of type A Coxeter groups to all the faces of its associated arrangement.
- 2006: Palacios and Ronco extended this new order to Coxeter groups of all types using cover relations.
- 2016: D, Hohlweg and Pilaud gave a global equivalent to this extension and showed it's a lattice.
- Questions: Can we extend this to hyperplane arrangements? Can we find both local and global definitions? When do we actually get a lattice?



Hyperplane Arrangements

Poset of Regions

8 Aug 2019

# **Facial Intervals**

Proposition (Björner, Las Vergas, Sturmfels, White, Ziegler '93)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be central with base region B. For every  $F \in \mathscr{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$  there is a unique interval  $[m_F, M_F]$  in PR(A, B) such that  $[m_F, M_F] = \left\{ R \in \mathscr{R}_A \mid F \subseteq \overline{R} \right\}$ 



A. Dermeniian (UQÀM)

The facial weak order in hyperplane arrangements

Lattice

# Facial Weak Order

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a central hyperplane arrangement and B a base region in  $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

#### Definition

The *facial weak order* is the order  $FW(\mathcal{A}, B)$  on  $\mathscr{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$  where for  $F, G \in \mathscr{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$ :

$$F \leq G \Leftrightarrow m_F \leq_{\mathsf{PR}} m_G$$
 and  $M_F \leq_{\mathsf{PR}} M_G$ 



8 Aug 2019 1

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

0/10<sup>10</sup>

Lattice

## Facial Weak Order - Example



A. Dermenjian (UQÀM)

The facial weak order in hyperplane arrangements

8 Aug 2019 11/

Lattice

## Facial Weak Order - Example



 $[R_5, R_4] \bullet [B, R_3] \bullet [R_1, R_2]$ 



< 6 k

Lattice

## Facial Weak Order - Example





**A b** 

Lattice

## Facial Weak Order - Example



[*B*, *B*]

< 6 k

Lattice

## Facial Weak Order - Example



 $[R_5, R_4] \bullet [B, R_3] \bullet [R_1, R_2]$ 



< 6 k

Lattice

## Facial Weak Order - Example



A. Dermenjian (UQÀM)

The facial weak order in hyperplane arrangements

8 Aug 2019 12/10

Lattice

## Facial Weak Order - Example



The facial weak order in hyperplane arrangements

8 Aug 2019

12/1010

Lattice

# **Cover Relations**

#### Proposition (D., Hohlweg, McConville, Pilaud, '19+)

For  $F, G \in \mathscr{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$  if 1.  $F \leq G$  in FW( $\mathcal{A}, B$ ) 2.  $|\dim(F) - \dim(G)| = 1$ 3.  $F \subseteq G$  or  $G \subseteq F$ then  $F \leq G$ .





Lattice

## Covectors

- *covector* a vector in {-,0,+}<sup>A</sup> with signs relative to hyperplanes.
- $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \{-, \mathbf{0}, +\}^{\mathcal{A}}$  set of covectors

#### Example

 $F_4 \leftrightarrow (+,0,-)$   $F_4(H_1) = +;$   $F_4(H_2) = 0;$   $F_4(H_3) = -$ 



s 8 Aug 2

14/Ack(100, 100)

Lattice

## Covectors

- *covector* a vector in {-,0,+}<sup>A</sup> with signs relative to hyperplanes.
- $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \{-, \mathbf{0}, +\}^{\mathcal{A}}$  set of covectors

#### Example

$$F_4 \leftrightarrow (+,0,-)$$
  $F_4(H_1) = +; F_4(H_2) = 0; F_4(H_3) = -$ 

$$\begin{array}{c} H_{1} & H_{3} \\ (0,-,-) & (-,-,-) & (-,-,0) \\ (+,-,-) & (-,-,+) \\ (+,-,-) & (-,-,+) \\ H_{2} & & (-,+,+) \\ (+,+,-) & & (-,+,+) \\ (+,+,0) & (+,+,+) & (0,+,+) \end{array}$$

(a)

= nar

Lattice

# **Covector Definition**

#### Definition

For  $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}$ :

 $X \leq_{\mathcal{L}} Y \Leftrightarrow X(H) \geq Y(H) \quad \forall H \text{ with } - < 0 < +$ 



イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

# Zonotopes

**Zonotope**  $Z_A$  is the convex polytope:

$$Z_{\mathcal{A}} \coloneqq \left\{ \boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{V} \mid \boldsymbol{v} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i \boldsymbol{e}_i, \text{ such that } |\lambda_i| \leq 1 \text{ for all } i \right\}$$

#### Theorem (Edelman '84, McMullen '71)

There is a bijection between  $\mathscr{F}_A$  and the nonempty faces of  $Z_A$  given by the map

$$\tau(F) = \left\{ v \in V \mid v = \sum_{F(H_i)=0} \lambda_i e_i + \sum_{F(H_j)\neq 0} \mu_j e_j \right\}$$
  
where  $|\lambda_i| \le 1$  for all  $i$  and  $\mu_j = F(H_j)$ 

Lattice

## Zonotope - Construction example



A. Dermenjian (UQÀM)

The facial weak order in hyperplane arrangements

・ ・ きょう ・ きょう

▶ Ē � 17/Ack(100,10

Lattice

## Root inversion sets

• roots 
$$\Phi_{\mathcal{A}} \coloneqq \{\pm e_1, \pm e_2, \dots, \pm e_k\}$$

root inversion set  $P(E) := \{ o \in \Phi : | \langle x, o \rangle \leq 0 \}$  for

 ${f R}({\it F})\coloneqq \{{\it e}\in \Phi_{\cal A}\mid \ \langle {\it x}, {\it e}
angle \le 0 \ {
m for \ some \ } {\it x}\in {\it F}\}.$ 



Lattice

# Equivalent definitions

#### Theorem (D., Hohlweg, McConville, Pilaud '19+)

For  $F, G \in \mathscr{F}_A$  the following are equivalent:

- $m_F \leq_{PR} m_G$  and  $M_F \leq_{PR} M_G$  in poset of regions  $PR(\mathcal{A}, B)$ .
- There exists a chain of covers in FW(A, B) such that

$$F = F_1 \lessdot F_2 \lessdot \cdots \lessdot F_n = G$$

■  $F \leq_{\mathcal{L}} G$  in terms of covectors ( $F(H) \geq G(H) \forall H \in \mathcal{A}$ ) ■  $\mathbf{R}(F) \setminus \mathbf{R}(G) \subseteq \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}^-$  and  $\mathbf{R}(G) \setminus \mathbf{R}(F) \subseteq \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}^+$ .

Lattice

# Warning!

Next slide contains a lot of data... please procede with caution.



3 > 4 3

Lattice

## Equivalence for type $A_2$ Coxeter arrangement



Lattice

# Facial weak order lattice

#### Theorem (D., Hohlweg, McConville, Pilaud '19+)

The facial weak order FW(A, B) is a lattice when A is simplicial.

#### Corollary (D., Hohlweg, McConville, Pilaud '19+)

The lattice of regions is a sublattice of the facial weak order lattice when A is simplicial.

Lattice

## Example: $B_3$ Coxeter arrangement



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

# Properties of the facial weak order

#### Theorem (D., Hohlweg, McConville, Pilaud '19+)

- FW(A, B) is self-dual.
- A simplicial implies FW(A, B) is semi-distributive.
- $\mathcal{A}$  simplicial and  $X \in \mathscr{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$  then X is join-irreducible in  $FW(\mathcal{A}, B)$  if and only if  $M_X$  is join-irreducible in  $PR(\mathcal{A}, B)$  and  $codim(X) \in \{0, 1\}$
- Möbius function:  $X, Y \in \mathscr{F}_{\mathcal{A}}$  let  $Z = X \cap Y$ .

$$\mu(X, Y) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\text{rk}(X) + \text{rk}(Y)} & \text{if } X \leq Z \leq Y \text{ and } Z = X_{-Z} \cap Y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## **Further Works**

- Can we explicitly state the join/meet of two elements?
- When is the facial weak order congruence uniform?
- How many maximal chains are there?
- What is the order dimension?
- Can we generalize this to polytopes?

Further Works





